

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,067	12/28/2001	John Durbin Husher	2208P	9458
29141 7	7590 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			WILSON, CHRISTIAN D	
P O BOX 51418 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11.201.210,			2824	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/034,067	HUSHER, JOHN DURBIN $U$				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian Wilson	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 9-22.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-8.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		RICHARD ELMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are directed against the references individually.